

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,604	09/15/2003	Maurice Fracheboud	29089/39605	6810
4743	7590 07/16/2004		EXAM	INER
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			BENNETT, GEORGE B	
6300 SEARS TOWER 233 S. WACKER DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO IL 60606			2859	

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A 1: + (-)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/662,604	FRACHEBOUD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	G. Bradley Bennett	2859				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperent of the Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period work. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	etent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> .	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 6-9 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities: Appropriate correction is required.
 - Claims 6-8: the term "the movable part of the shock absorber" is lacking antecent basis. Please correct.
 - Claim 9: this claim is lacking a period at the end. Please correct.
 - Claim 13: the term "each transmission unit" is lacking antecedent basis. Please correct.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 18 and 25-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticpated by Aehnelt et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,604 Page 3

Art Unit: 2859

4. Aehnelt et al. discloses the invention as claimed where: 11 is a movable part; 1 is a fixed part; 3, 5 and 6 are weight compensating means. The weight compensating means uses both springs and permanent magnets as claimed. The device can be used in a coordinate measuring machine (see Aehnelt et al. claim 9, for example).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-8 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aehnelt et al. in view of Ernst.
- 7. Aehnelt et al. discloses the substantially as claimed. However, Aehnelt et al. does not disclose the shock absorbing leaf springs as claimed. Ernst discloses how shock absorbing leaf springs may be used in conjunction with a touch probe for the purpose of protecting the touch probe from damage during use. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the shock absorbing means as taught by Ernst in conjunction with the Aehnelt et al. device for the purpose of protecting the probe of Aehnelt et al.
- 8. Claims 9-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aehnelt et al. and Ernst as applied to claims 1-8 and 15-18 above, and further in view of Neuer et al..

.'

Application/Control Number: 10/662,604

Art Unit: 2859

- 9. Aehnelt et al. and Ernst disclose the invention substantially as claimed.
 However, neither Aehnelt et al. nor Ernst disclose the transmission means as claimed.
 Neuer et al. discloses a transmission means as claimed for the purpose of moving a touch probe into a measuring position. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the transmission means as taught by Neuer et al. in conjunction with the Aehnelt et al. device for the purpose of moving the probe of Aehnelt et al.
- 10. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aehnelt et al. and Ernst as applied to claims 1-8 and 15-18 above, and further in view of Ertl.
- 11. Aehnelt et al. and Ernst disclose the invention substantially as claimed.

 However, neither Aehnelt et al. nor Ernst disclose the flexure link transmission means as claimed. Ertl discloses a flexure link transmission means as claimed for the purpose of moving a touch probe into a measuring position. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the transmission means as taught by Ertl in conjunction with the Aehnelt et al. device for the purpose of moving the probe of Aehnelt et al.
- 12. Claims 19-21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aehnelt et al. in view of Neuer et al.
- 13. Aehnelt et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Aehnelt et al. does not disclose the transmission means as claimed. Neuer et al. discloses a transmission means as claimed for the purpose of moving a touch probe into a measuring position. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 10/662,604

Art Unit: 2859

art at the time the invention was made to use the transmission means as taught by

Neuer et al. in conjunction with the Aehnelt et al. device for the purpose of moving the

probe of Aehnelt et al.

- 14. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aehnelt et al. and Neuer et al. as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Ertl.
- 15. Aehnelt et al. and Neuer et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, neither Aehnelt et al. nor Neuer et al. disclose the flexure link transmission means as claimed. Ertl discloses a flexure link transmission means as claimed for the purpose of moving a touch probe into a measuring position. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the transmission means as taught by Ertl in conjunction with the Aehnelt et al. device for the purpose of moving the probe of Aehnelt et al.
- 16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to G. Bradley Bennett whose telephone number is 571.272.2237. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on 571.272.2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,604 Page 6

Art Unit: 2859

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

G. Bradley Bennett Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

gbb 15 JUL 2004